Dam ID: <u>HI00024</u> KALIHIWAI RESERVOIR

# Vulnerability Index: Extreme High Moderate Low 1 2 3 4

Inspec	tion No:
Date:	20 March 2006

## STATE OF HAWAII - DLNR VISUAL DAM SAFETY INSPECTION SHEET

Persons Present		Affiliation				Phone	Numbe	r
RAY KONG		COE						
GORDON CHONG		DLNR-ENG	3					
SHERRIE PAUL		DLNR-DO	FAW			_		
Weather Condition:	☐ Rain previous day Comments:							□ Dry
1. General: (Information		e as required)						
Dam/Res. Name	KALIHIWAI RESEI	RVOIR						
Owner	Hawaii Land & Far	ming Co., Inc.						
Owner Contact	Mr. Derrick Nishim	ura		 Owne	r Ph			
Lessee	Kilauea Agronomio				e Ph.			
O & M Contractor	n/a							
Nearest City	KALIHIWAI					22.19		° (decimal)
County	KAUAI			 Longi	tude _	159.4367		° (decimal)
Tax Map Key(s)				 				
Dam Status	A:	Hazard Potentia	al S:		Dam	Size		
	1920							
-	278ac.ft.	-				Surface Area		
	rea <u>mi.</u>					Spillway Q _		
Owner owns land i	under dam facility:							
	Plan on file with the		NO					
Reports on file with			.,,					

2. Q	uestions for Owner's Rep.:	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	Comments
С	onstruction Plans Available		Х		
S	ite / Facility Map		Х		
0	peration & Maintenance Manua			X	
Ε	mergency Action Plan			Х	
M	odifications / Improvements			Х	
	onduct Routine Inspections			Х	
	onduct Routine Maintenance			Х	
	ehicle access to site	х			□ Not accessible □ With Standard car □ Requires 4-Wheel Drive
	ccess during heavy rains		х		☐ Not accessible ☐ With Standard car X Requires 4-Wheel Drive
	ccess when spillway is flowing	X			□ Not accessible □ With Standard car □ Requires 4-Wheel Drive
	ther Studies Conducted			X	□ Phase I □ Phase II □ Hydraulics □ Stability □ Hazard □ Seismic
Ŭ	inor Otaaloo Ooriaaotoa	_	_	Λ.	Other:
In	cident History			X	☐ Breached ☐ Overtop ☐ Slide ☐ Down stream Flooding
"	cident i listory			^	Other:
D	eservoir's Current Use				☐ Sediment X Irrigation X Recreation ☐ Flood Control ☐ Drinking Water
Г	eservoir's Current Ose	Ш	Ш	Ш	g g
					□ Power Generation □ Other:
] ] ] ] ] ]	modifications, Operations  b. An Emergency Action Pla  c. An EAP is required for H  d. An EAP is recommended  e. Submit narrative and add  dam site, unless covered  f. Routine inspection logs w  g. Dam owners shall provid  h. The dam did not appear  i. Access to site appears to  j. There is no vehicular acc  or access provided.  k. Access to dam is question  and emergency plans ne  l. Provide a detailed narrat  required to promptly advicticumstance or occurrer  m. Submit current Operation	s and an (E igh H if for a ditional by a vere to be seess to be seess to be seess to be seed to ive of see the sees to be seed to ive of see the sees to be sees to be seed to ive of see the sees to be seed to ive of see the sees to be seed to ive of see the sees to be seed t	Mair AP) i azaro all da al info ppromotir routin mair atisfa to the i e depwhich d Ma this [	ntenance of some son file word dams. Some regards or severed and site of the severe of	ion of the dam. a regular basis.  Operational and emergency plans need to reflect this deficiency weather conditions and/or spillway overflows. Operational plans iciency or access provided. esponses taken, and any damages incurred. Dam owners are of any sudden or unprecedented flood or unusual or alarming ersely affect the dam or reservoir.  Manual or Procedures for this dam / reservoir facility. In identifies the location of major features including outlet works
Ą	dditional Requirements: The following investigative study Required Recommended	v(s) a ase I ase II drolog bility smic zard (	re: Study Stud gy an Analy Analy	/ ly (Includir d Hydraul ysis	ng □ Seepage □ Hydrology/Hydraulics □ EAP) ics (including Probable Maximum Flood and spillway capacity)

Physical Dam Features: (Check All Applicable. Provide description of Items Observed and/or Take Photos. Indicate photo # in description.) 3. Reservoir: \_\_\_\_\_ft per \_\_\_\_\_ (gage / other) Level during inspection 2 ft below spillway Normal Operating Level/Range \_\_\_\_\_ft per \_\_\_\_\_(gage / other) Description: Typical Operation x Spillway always flowing ☐ Kept within normal range ☐ Kept Empty ☐ Drained Daily ☐ Only filled by Storms □ # Observed: \_\_\_\_\_ Size: \_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_ in. Deep □ Not Visible □ None Observed Sinkhole in Res.: Staff Gage: Findinas: ☐ a. The reservoir was not inspected. x b. The reservoir appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time. □ c. The reservoir appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action. ☐ d. The reservoir appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition, urgent corrective action is required. Corrective Actions: ☐ e. The staff gage needs maintenance and/or repair. Description: f. A staff gage was not observed at the reservoir. Provide some method of quantifying the water level within the reservoir. ☐ g. A sinkhole was observed in the upstream reservoir. Conduct additional investigations and monitoring to identify the cause, risk and appropriate action. □ h. \_\_\_ 4. Intake Works Description: x Number of Intakes 2 24" x 36" H wood gates\_\_\_\_ x Intake (Culvert )/ Pipe \_in. □ DIP □ Corrugated Metal □ PVC □ HDPE □ Concrete □ Other \_\_\_\_\_ Size: Control: x Gate □ Valve x Flow can either be Shut off or Bypassed From: ☐ Stream Diversion ☐ Pump ☐ Reservoir □ Other x Ditch / Flume To reser after intake Dimension: 12' x 8'\_\_\_\_\_ (Size x Depth) Shape rectangle, tunnel, under road\_\_\_\_\_ Surface: x Dirt □ Wood □ Concrete ☐ Lined w/ Control: x Gate ☐ Valve Flow can either be Shut off or Bypassed From: x Stream Diversion □ Pump □ Reservoir □ Other Findinas:  $\square$  a. The intake works were not inspected. □ b. The intake works were not tested. c. The intake works appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time. □ d. The intake works appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action. □ e. The intake works appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition, urgent corrective action is required. Corrective Actions: ☐ f. The intake works needs maintenance and/or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Up:	stream Slope:	(Typical Slope ± 3 : 1)         I: x None □ Dumped Rock □ Fitted Rip Rap □ Grouted Rip Rap □ Liner □ □ Other: □
	Slope i Totection	
	Erosion:	□ Defect in Protection: Description: □ Gully (>6" deep) □ Not Visible □ None Observed
	21001011.	Description:
	Cracks:	□ Parallel with crest □ Perpendicular to crest □ Slide visible xNot Visible □ None Observed
	Ordono.	Description:
	Sinkholes:	# Observed: Size: and Depth x Not Visible   None Observed
		Description:
	Vegetation:	□ None □ Low Ground Cover x Bushes or Tall Grass x Trees # 2 x <6" □ >6" & <20" □ >20"
		Description: California grass, small trees
_	dings:	
	•	n slope was not inspected. In slope appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
X	•	n slope appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
	•	a slope appeared to be in trail to poor condition and requires corrective action.
		tive action is required.
•		
Co.	rrective Actions:	ion needs maintenance or repair. Description:
		ully erosion was observed on the slope, which requires maintenance and/or repair.
_	Description:_	
		observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause.
_		rea and/or repair as required.
		as observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. nonitor the area.
	•	n slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and
Ц		to enable easy visual inspection.
х		observed on the dam embankment. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping
		can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds.
		tion is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal
		d its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. e may be to trim trees as low as possible without killing the trees. All repair work shall be
	accomplished	d as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the
		a for signs of settlement and seepage.
	k	

6. Cre	st:	Approximate Crest Width: 20'
	Access: Erosion:	□ None □ Walking Path x Roadway, Surface / Width / Usage: dirt road □ Loose soil w/ little vegetation □ Rut (<6") x Gully (>6" deep) □ Not Visible □ None Observed
		Description:
	Cracks:	☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☐ Not Visible x None Observed
		Description:
	Sinkholes:	x in. Wide x in. Long x in. Deep  \text{Not Visible} \text{None Observed}
		Description: large hole in road crossing crest 8' dia, 18" deep
	Vegetation:	□ None □ Low Ground Cover x Bushes or Tall Grass x Trees # 3 x <6" □ >6" & <20" □ >20"
		Description:
	dings:	at was not inspected
		st was not inspected.  st appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
X		st appeared to be in fair to poor condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
_		st appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function.
		ctive action is required.
_	rective Actions:	the crest was satisfactory.
	•	the crest was not possible. Description:
X	-	ully erosion was observed on the crest, which requires maintenance and/or repair.
^		any drosion was observed on the drost, which requires maintenance and/or repair.
	h. A crack was	observed on the crest, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause.
		rea and/or repair as required.
Х		a sinkhole was observed on the crest, which requires further investigation to determine the ause. Repair and monitor the area.
	_	e crest were not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and
		to enable easy visual inspection.
	failures, and c Corrective ac of the tree an All repair wor	observed along the dam crest. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Ition is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal districture down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. It is accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. In the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
	l	

Access:	ved
Erosion: ☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) x Not Visible ☐ None Obser  Description: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible x Not Visible ☐ None Observed  Description: ☐ Description: ☐ Description: ☐ None Observed	
Erosion: ☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) x Not Visible ☐ None Obser  Description: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible x Not Visible ☐ None Observed  Description: ☐ Description: ☐ Description: ☐ None Observed	
Description:  Cracks: □ Parallel with crest □ Perpendicular to crest □ Slide visible x Not Visible □ None Observed  Description:	□ >20"
Cracks: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible x Not Visible ☐ None Observed  Description: ☐	□ >20"
Description:	□ >20"
	□ >20"
Officioles. $\Box$ iii. wide $x$ iii. Long $x$ iii. Deep $x$ not visible $\Box$ notice observed	□ >20"
	□ >20"
Description:	□ >20″
Vegetation: ☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover x Bushes or Tall Grass x Trees # ☐ <6" ☐ >6" & <20"	
Description: Heavily vegetated hampering assess.	
Seepage: <u>Seep Spot Number 1</u>	
☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water x Not Visible ☐ None Observed	
□ Flowing, Description:	
Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other:	
Description:	
Seep Spot Number 2	
☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☐ None Observed	
☐ Flowing, Description:	
Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other:	
Description:	
Findings:	
x a. The downstream slope was not inspected. can't see	
□ b. The downstream slope appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at the	is time.
☐ c. The downstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.	
☐ d. The downstream slope appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended	d
function. Urgent corrective action is required.	
Corrective Actions:	
x e. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: clean vegetation for inspection.	
☐ f. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed on the slope, which requires maintenance and/or repair.	
Description:	
<ul> <li>g. A crack was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining ca Monitor the area and/or repair as required.</li> </ul>	iuse.
<ul> <li>□ h. A sinkhole was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining</li> </ul>	Callea
Repair and monitor the area.	cause.
☐ i. The down stream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation a	and
maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.	
x g. Tree(s) were observed on the downstream slope. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of	piping
failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high v	vinds.
Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include re	
of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment s	ection.
An alternative may be to trim trees as low as possible without killing the trees. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monit	or the
damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.	or trie
☐ h. Seepage/Ponding water was observed. Monitor and conduct further investigation to locate the source	of
water and extent of any possible hazardous or developing condition.	J1
☐ i. Seepage was observed flowing and particles were observed to be removed by the flow. Take immedia	ite
action to stop the loss of soil from the embankment. Conduct further investigation to determine the unc	
cause and take corrective action. Monitor the area.	3
☐ j. The slope was very steep, around a 1 to 1 slope, further study is required to verify slope stability.	
□ k	

Erosion:
Cracks:
Vegetation:    None   Low Ground Cover   x Bushes or Tall Grass   Trees #   <6"   >6"   \$<20"   >20
Vegetation:
Seep Spot Number 1   Green Vegetation   Wet or Muddy Ground   Ponding Water   Not Visible   None Observed     Flowing, Description:   Water Clarity:   Clear   Some particles   Muddy   Other:     Description:   Wet or Muddy Ground   Ponding Water   Not Visible   None Observed     Flowing, Description:   Wet or Muddy Ground   Ponding Water   Not Visible   None Observed     Flowing, Description:   Water Clarity:   Clear   Some particles   Muddy   Other:     Description:   Description:   Description:   Description:   Some particles   Muddy   Other:   Description:   Descript
Seep Spot Number 1   Green Vegetation   Wet or Muddy Ground   Ponding Water   Not Visible   None Observed     Flowing, Description:   Water Clarity:   Clear   Some particles   Muddy   Other:     Description:   Wet or Muddy Ground   Ponding Water   Not Visible   None Observed     Green Vegetation   Wet or Muddy Ground   Ponding Water   Not Visible   None Observed     Flowing, Description:   Some particles   Muddy   Other:     Description:   Some particles   Muddy   Other:
□ Green Vegetation       □ Wet or Muddy Ground       □ Ponding Water       □ None Observed         □ Flowing, Description:       □ Some particles       □ Muddy       □ Other:         □ Description:       □ Green Vegetation       □ Wet or Muddy Ground       □ Ponding Water       □ Not Visible       □ None Observed         □ Flowing, Description:       □ Water Clarity:       □ Clear       □ Some particles       □ Muddy       □ Other:         Description:       □ Some particles       □ Muddy       □ Other:
□ Flowing, Description:  Water Clarity: □ Clear □ Some particles □ Muddy □ Other:  Description:  Seep Spot Number 2 □ Green Vegetation □ Wet or Muddy Ground □ Ponding Water □ Not Visible □ None Observed □ Flowing, Description:  Water Clarity: □ Clear □ Some particles □ Muddy □ Other:  Description:
Water Clarity:
Seep Spot Number 2         □ Green Vegetation       □ Wet or Muddy Ground       □ Ponding Water       □ Not Visible       □ None Observed         □ Flowing, Description:       □ Some particles       □ Muddy       □ Other:         Description:       □
☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☐ None Observed ☐ Flowing, Description: ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: ☐ Description: ☐ Description: ☐ Description: ☐ Description: ☐ Description
☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☐ None Observed ☐ Flowing, Description: ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: ☐ Description: ☐ Description: ☐ Description: ☐ Description: ☐ Description
Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other:
Description:
Eindingo
<ul> <li>x b. The abutments/toe appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.</li> <li>□ c. The abutments/toe appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.</li> <li>□ d. The abutments/toe appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.</li> <li>Corrective Actions:</li> <li>□ e. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>f. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed, which requires maintenance and/or repair.</li> <li>Description:</li> </ul>
g. A crack was observed along the abutments/near the toe, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
<ul> <li>x h. The abutment/toe area was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>i. Tree(s) were observed along the abutment/toe. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include remove of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>j. Seepage/Ponding water was observed. Monitor and conduct further investigation to locate the source of water and extent of any possible hazardous or developing condition.</li> </ul>
k. Seepage was observed flowing and particles were observed to be removed by the flow. Take immediate action to stop the loss of soil from the embankment. Conduct further investigation to determine the underlining cause and take corrective action. Monitor the area.
□ I

9.	Out	-	Norks:						
		Cu	Ivert / Pipe	duatila ir	ron 20" dia 2 atrain	oro book	to 20" outl	o.t	
			Type / Size: Culvert:		on 30" dia, 2 strain				
				☐ Concrete	☐ Masonry	unlined		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	El Others
			Pipe:	x DIP	☐ Corrugated Metal		☐ HDPE	☐ Concrete	
			Control Type:						
			Location:		Upstream side x Contr				
			Seepage:	☐ Green Vege		-	_		ble ☐ None Observed
					escription: ☐ Clear ☐ Some parti				
				•	- Ocal - Oome part		•	·	
	Find	ding	ıs:	Description					
			The outlet wor	ks were not	inspected.				
		b.	The outlet wor	ks were not	tested.				
	Х				•				re required at this time.
					I to be in fair to poor		•		
						ory condit	ion and no	ot expected to f	fulfill its intended function.
			Urgent correct	ive action is	requirea.				
	Cor	rect	tive Actions:						
		f.	Seepage/Pond	ding water w	as observed. Condi	uct further	· investigat	ion to locate th	ne source of water and extent
					or developing condi				
									e flow. Take immediate
									erlining cause and take
					ed to be a dangerou			oping along th	e outlet conduit are very
					•			h vegetation a	nd maintain low to enable
	_		easy visual ins		g. a.c. aa. b.a.c.	9010110111	0.0ag.	gg.tation at	
		i.	Tree(s) were o	bserved on	the dam embankme	nt. Trees	have been	n identified as	the probably cause of piping
									rooted during a high winds.
									ole remedies include removal
									maged embankment section. hnical or structural engineer.
					aged area for signs				innoar or otraotaral origineer.
			,			-		. 0	

10.	Sp	illway:											
		Type:	□ None	□ Culvert/Pi	pe □ Chan	nel							
			Description:earthen, cleared area, bear earth										
		Dimension:		30	_ ft. In	vert elevation: _		ft. per staff g	age				
		Slope Protection:	x None	☐ Grass	□ Dumpe	ed Rock	itted Rip Rap	☐ Grouted	l Rip Rap	☐ Conc	rete		
			□ Defect	in Protection:	Description	n:							
		Approach:	□Clear	x High Veg.	□ Trees		Other:						
		Erosion:	☐ Scour	☐ Gully	☐ Headcu	ut x N	ot Observed	☐ Other: _					
			Descriptio	n:									
		Vegetation:	☐ None	□Low Grou	ınd Cover	x Bushes or Ta	II Grass □ T	rees #	□ <6"	□ >6" & <20"	□ >20"		
			Descriptio	n:									
1		dings:	nnoorod	to be in ac	tiofootom	condition n	o corrective e	otiona ara r	androd .	at this time			
	□ X	<ul><li>a. The Spillway a</li><li>b. The Spillway a</li></ul>			-				•	at this time.			
	<u>^</u>	c. The Spillway a					•			nded function	llraent		
	ш	corrective action			isalisiacio	ny condition	and not expe	cieu io iuiii	i its iiitei	ided fullclioi	i. Orgeni		
(	Cor	rective Actions:						/ !!.					
	Х	d. Slope protection			•	•	ion: Clear ve	getation/silta	ation				
		e. The spillway a						d/a= =a=a:=					
		f. Severe scour of Description:	erosion w	as observ	ea which i	requires mail	itenance and	Jor repair.					
		g. A headcut was	cohearya	nd downetr	oam of the	a coillway C	orrective / m	itigativo acti	on is roo	uired to prev	ont this		
	ш	problem from r			cam or me	s spiliway. C	OHECHVE / III	iligalive acti	on is rec	fulled to piev	CIII IIIIS		
		h. Trees are unac	_	•	lway chan	nel and appr	oach. Take	corrective a	ction to a	address the v	voody		
		vegetation pro									•		
		i. Unclear if spills					pass the pro	bable maxin	num floo	d. Verify spi	llway		
		capacity and to	ake corre	ective actio	n as requi	red.							
		j											
11.	Do	wn Stream Chani	nel:										
		Name:											
		Downstream:	]Sump □	Open Area	□ Un-Defir	ned Drainage-w	ay x Defined I	Drainage-way	☐ Other				
		Items along Strea	ım Bank:	x None	☐ Road	☐ Houses	☐ Town		□ Not In:	spected			
		Description:											
ı	Find	dings:											
		a. The downstrea					1141						
	Х	b. The downstrea time.								•	at this		
		c. The downstrea				•		•					
		d. The downstrea function. Urge					ory condition	and not exp	ected to	fulfill its inter	nded		
	Cor	rective Actions:											
•													
	_	e											

#### **Additional Comments:**

Throw away gate 4' wide, height unknown.

Conflict between Guava Kai Farms, water users and community association (landowners around the reservoir) concerning permanent reservoir level.

### Findings.

Heavy vegetation hampered detailed investigation.

Slope angle of dam components should be analyzed for stability.

#### Recommendations.

On the date of this limited visual inspection, there appeared to be no immediate threat to the safety of the dam. No assurance can be made regarding the dam's condition after this date. Subsequent adverse weather and other factors may affect the dam's condition.

Immediate: Clean spillway of vegetation/siltation and keep it cleared at all times to retain full capacity. Bottom elevation of spillway approach needs to be determined. Drain crest gullies and check for sinkholes. Sinkholes require immediate attention/corrective actions.

Short-term: Clear vegetation at bottom of downstream toe and embankment (where dam meets original ground). Both should be minimum 10 feet wide to help in monitoring.

Long-term: Clear all underbrush. detailed investigations/studies.	Trim trees as close to the ground without killing them.	Fill in low spots on the crest.	Do

#### **Limitations and Intent of this Dam Safety Inspection:**

This Dam Safety Inspection was conducted to assess the general overall condition of the reservoir/dam, identify visible deficiencies, and recommend areas of for monitoring, additional investigative studies and corrective actions. The inspection is based only on visible features/areas of the dam on the day of inspection. This inspection is not a formal phase I or phase II dam safety inspection and does not include a review or evaluation from each specialist of an inspection team, such as a geologists, civil, geotechnical, structural, or hydraulics engineer. The owner should verify the findings of this report and take corrective actions. The owner may submit to the State alternative corrective actions that are certified by a licensed professional engineer in the State of Hawaii experienced in the design and construction of dams. This inspection does not relieve the owner/operator from their responsibility to conduct routine inspections, maintenance, repairs, modifications, monitoring, documentation, and/or investigative studies. The inspection was conducted under the authority of the Hawaii Revised Statures Chapter 179D, and Hawaii Administrative Rules, Title 13, Chapter 190, titled "Dams and Reservoirs". Questions regarding this inspection should be forwarded to the Hawaii State Dam Safety Program; PO Box 373; Honolulu, Hawaii 96809; Ph. (808) 587-0236.







